| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/690,718 | RIORDAN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | James M. Hewitt | 3679 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>James M. Hewitt</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Richard Besha</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 1 December 2005 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 5 and 9 Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 5 and 9 as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to obviate certain informalities. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | · |
| | | |
| Jan.L | | |
| (Exam ner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Signature | gnature – if appropriate) |